

On a Perturbation Estimate for the Extreme Solution of the Matrix Equation $X - A^* \widehat{X}^{-1} A = Q$ *

Vejdi I. Hasanov

Konstantin Preslavsky University of Shumen,
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Shumen, Bulgaria
v.hasanov@shu.bg

Abstract. In this paper a perturbation estimate for the unique positive definite solution X_+ of the matrix equation $X - A^* \widehat{X}^{-1} A = Q$ is discussed. In [1] a perturbation estimate has been obtained under the condition $\|\widehat{P} X_+^{-1} A P\| < 1$, where P is a positive definite matrix. Moreover, in [1] there is an open question "How to choose the matrix P , such that $\|\widehat{P} X_+^{-1} A P^{-1}\| < 1$ ". Here we give an answer to above question. The theoretical results are illustrated by several numerical examples. Different perturbation estimates are compared.

Key Words: nonlinear matrix equation, perturbation estimates.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider a perturbation estimate for the matrix equation

$$X - A^* \widehat{X}^{-1} A = Q, \quad (1)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m \end{pmatrix},$$

A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m, Q are $n \times n$ complex matrices, Q is a Hermitian positive definite, A^* is the conjugate transpose of a matrix A and \widehat{X} is $m \times m$ block diagonal matrix with X on its diagonal.

Eq. (1) can be written as

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{-1} A_i = Q, \quad (2)$$

also.

*This work was partially supported by the Konstantin Preslavsky University of Shumen under contract RD-08-123/06.02.2017.

Ran and Reurings [11] have investigated equation

$$X - A^*(\widehat{X} - C)^{-1}A = Q, \tag{3}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{C}^{mn \times n}$, $C \in \mathcal{H}^{mn}$ and $C \geq 0$. This equation has a unique positive definite solution X_+ , satisfying $\widehat{X}_+ > C$, under the condition $\widehat{Q} > C$ [11]. Equation (3) is connected with certain interpolation problems [11, 12, Chapter 7]. The perturbation analysis of equation (1) and equation (3) is executed in Yin and Fang [13], and Sun [14], respectively. In addition, there are many contributions in the literature to the solvability, numerical solutions, and perturbation analysis for the matrix equations $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$ [15, 16, 17, 18], $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* \mathcal{F}(X) A_i = Q$ [19], $A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i A_i^* X^{p_i} A_i = 0$ [20, 21].

The unique positive definite solution X_+ of equation (1) (or equation (3)) we will call extreme.

Eq. (1) for $m = 1$ arises in the analysis of stationary Gaussian reciprocal processes over a finite interval [2, 3]. It has been investigated for the existence a positive definite solution in [2, 4, 5], and it has been executed the perturbation analysis in [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

Now we consider the perturbed equation

$$\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}^* \widehat{X}^{-1} \tilde{A} = \tilde{Q}, \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{C}^{mn \times n}$ and \tilde{Q} ($\tilde{Q} > 0$) are small perturbations of A and Q in (1), respectively.

Let \tilde{X}_+ be the extreme solution of Eq. (4). Denote $\Delta X_+ = \tilde{X}_+ - X_+$, $\Delta Q = \tilde{Q} - Q$ and $\Delta A = \tilde{A} - A$.

Yin and Fang [13] have derived the following result.

Theorem 1 ([13, Theorem 2.1]) *Let A, Q and \tilde{A}, \tilde{Q} with Q, \tilde{Q} positive definite be coefficient matrices for the matrix equations (1) and (4), respectively. Denote*

$$\begin{aligned} b &= 1 - \|A\|^2 \|X_+^{-1}\|^2 + \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta Q\|, \\ c &= \|\Delta Q\| + 2 \|A\| \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\| + \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\|^2, \\ \text{and } D &= b^2 - 4c \|X_+^{-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\|A\|^2 \|X_+^{-1}\|^2 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \|\Delta A\| + \|\Delta Q\| \leq \frac{(1 - \|A\| \|X_+^{-1}\|)^2}{\|X_+^{-1}\|}, \tag{5}$$

then the extreme solutions X_+ and \tilde{X}_+ the respective equations (1) and (4) satisfies

$$\|\Delta X_+\| \leq \frac{b - \sqrt{D}}{2 \|X_+^{-1}\|} =: S_{err}. \tag{6}$$

In [1] Theorem 1 has been modified as follows.

Theorem 2 ([1, Theorem 2]) *Let A , Q and \tilde{A} , \tilde{Q} with Q , \tilde{Q} positive definite be coefficient matrices for the matrix equations (1) and (4), respectively, P is a positive definite matrix. Denote $\alpha_p = \|\widehat{PX_+^{-1}AP^{-1}}\|$, $\beta_p = \|PX_+^{-1}P\|$, where X_+ is the extreme solution of equation (1),*

$$\begin{aligned} b_p &= 1 - \alpha_p^2 + \beta_p \|P^{-1}\Delta QP^{-1}\|, \\ c_p &= \|P^{-1}\Delta QP^{-1}\| + 2\alpha_p \|\widehat{P}^{-1}\Delta AP^{-1}\| + \beta_p \|\widehat{P}^{-1}\Delta AP^{-1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\alpha_p < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\|\widehat{P}^{-1}\Delta AP^{-1}\| + \|P^{-1}\Delta QP^{-1}\| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_p)^2}{\beta_p}, \quad (7)$$

then $D_p = b_p^2 - 4c_p\beta_p \geq 0$ and

$$\|\Delta X_+\| \leq \|P\|^2 \frac{b_p - \sqrt{D_p}}{2\beta_p} =: S_{err}^P. \quad (8)$$

In [1, Example 1] Eq. (1) has been considered with $m = 2$ and matrices A and Q as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4326 & -1.1465 \\ -1.6665 & 1.1909 \\ 0.1253 & 1.1892 \\ 0.2877 & -0.0376 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1376 & 0.0656 \\ 0.0656 & 0.5616 \end{pmatrix}$$

and an approximation of the extreme solution

$$X_+ \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1.1572575 & 0.01971555 \\ 0.01971555 & 3.3569583 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For this example we know that $\|X_+^{-1}\| \|A\| \approx 1.9443 > 1$, $\|\widehat{X_+^{-1}A}\| = 1.4926 > 1$, but $\alpha_p = \|\widehat{PX_+^{-1}AP^{-1}}\| \approx 0.9621$, where $P = \sqrt{Q} + 2\sqrt[4]{Q}$ [1]. Moreover, in [1] there is an open question "How to choose the matrix P , such that $\|\widehat{PX_+^{-1}AP^{-1}}\| < 1$ ". In the next section we give an answer to the previous question.

The aim of this paper is to show how to choose a matrix P such that Theorem 2 remains valid. We will prove a new theorem where we propose a special choice of the matrix P . In addition, we are executing some numerical experiments where we compare different perturbation estimates for the extreme solution to (1).

In this paper we exploit the following notations. $\mathcal{C}^{p \times q}$ denotes the set of $p \times q$ complex matrices and \mathcal{H}^n denotes the set of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices. For the matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and B , the notation $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B)$ stands for a Kronecker product. The notation $A > 0$ ($A \geq 0$) means that A is a Hermitian positive definite (semidefinite) matrix. If $A - B > 0$ (or $A - B \geq 0$) we write $A > B$ (or $A \geq B$). We denote the identity matrix of order n with I (or I_n). The symbols $\|\cdot\|$, $\|\cdot\|_F$ and $\rho(\cdot)$ denote the spectral norm, the Frobenius norm, and the spectral radius, respectively. For any matrix Z , we denote with \widehat{Z} the $m \times m$ block diagonal matrix with Z on its diagonal, i.e. $\widehat{Z} = I_m \otimes Z$.

2 Perturbation estimates

Firstly, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left((X_+^{-T} \otimes X_+^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^T \otimes A_i^*\right) &= \rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (X_+^{-1} A_i)^T \otimes (X_+^{-1} A_i)^*\right) < 1, \\ \rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (X_+^{-1} A_i)^T \otimes (X_+^{-1} A_i)^*\right) &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X_+^{-2} A_i \right\| = \|\widehat{X_+^{-1} A}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a problem in the application of Theorem 2 to appear when $\|\widehat{X_+^{-1} A}\| \geq 1$. But, if $\|AX_+^{-1}\| < 1$, then Theorem 2 is applicable with $P = X_+ =: P_2$. In this case the estimate S_{err}^P in (8) we denote $S_{err}^{P_2}$.

Now, we will prove that

$$\|\sqrt{\widehat{X_+^{-1} A}} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\| < 1 \quad (9)$$

for arbitrary coefficient matrix A and the extreme solution X_+ of equation (1).

From equation (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^* \widehat{X_+^{-1} A} &= X_+ - Q \geq 0, \\ \sqrt{X_+^{-1} A^* \widehat{X_+^{-1} A}} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}} &= I - \sqrt{X_+^{-1} Q} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sqrt{X_+^{-1} Q} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}} > 0$, then

$$\sqrt{X_+^{-1} A^* \widehat{X_+^{-1} A}} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}} < I$$

which implies (9).

Therefore, Theorem 2 is applicable with $P = \sqrt{X_+} =: P_1$. Then the estimate S_{err}^P in (8) we denote $S_{err}^{P_1}$.

For alternative of Theorem 2 with $P = \sqrt{X_+}$ we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3 Let A, Q and \tilde{A}, \tilde{Q} with Q, \tilde{Q} positive definite be coefficient matrices for the matrix equations (1) and (4), respectively. Denote $\alpha_1 = \|\sqrt{\widehat{X_+^{-1} A}} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\|$, where X_+ is the extreme solution of equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= 1 - \alpha_1^2 + \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta Q\|, \\ c_1 &= \|\Delta Q\| + 2\alpha_1 \|\Delta A\| + \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$2\|\Delta A\| + \|\Delta Q\| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)^2}{\|X_+^{-1}\|}, \quad (10)$$

then $D_1 = b_1^2 - 4c_1 \|X_+^{-1}\| \geq 0$ and

$$\|\Delta X_+\| \leq \|X_+\| \frac{b_1 - \sqrt{D_1}}{2} =: S_{err}^{P_1}. \quad (11)$$

Proof: The proof is like to the proof of Theorem 2 ([1, Theorem 2]). In [1] it is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X_+ &= \Delta Q + \Delta A^*(I_{n^2} + \widehat{X_+^{-1}}\widehat{\Delta X_+})^{-1}\widehat{X_+^{-1}}(A + \Delta A) \\ &\quad - A^*\widehat{X_+^{-1}}(I_{n^2} + \widehat{\Delta X_+}\widehat{X_+^{-1}})^{-1}(\widehat{\Delta X_+}\widehat{X_+^{-1}}A - \Delta A). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta X_+\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} &= \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta Q\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} + \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta A^*\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}(I_{n^2} + \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\widehat{\Delta X_+}\sqrt{X_+^{-1}})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}(A + \Delta A)\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} - \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}A^*\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} \\ &\quad \times (I_{n^2} + \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\widehat{\Delta X_+}\sqrt{X_+^{-1}})^{-1}\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}(\widehat{\Delta X_+}\widehat{X_+^{-1}}A - \Delta A)\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a map $\mu : \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n$ defined by the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(M) &= \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta Q\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} + \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta A^*\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}(I_{n^2} + \widehat{M})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}A\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} + \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta A\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} \right) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}A^*\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}(I_{n^2} + \widehat{M})^{-1} \left(\widehat{M}\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}A\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} - \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta A\sqrt{X_+^{-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the conditions of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|\Delta A\|\|X_+^{-1}\| + \|\Delta Q\|\|X_+^{-1}\| &\leq (1 - 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2), \\ b_1 &= 1 - \alpha_1^2 + \|X_+^{-1}\|\|\Delta Q\| \leq 2 - 2(\alpha_1 + \|X_+^{-1}\|\|\Delta A\|) < 2, \\ D_1 &= b_1^2 - 4c_1\|X_+^{-1}\| = b_1^2 - 4b_1 + 4 - 4(\alpha_1 + \|X_+^{-1}\|\|\Delta A\|)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $D_1 \geq 0$, the quadratic equation

$$S^2 - b_1S + c_1\|X_+^{-1}\| = 0 \quad (14)$$

has two positive real roots. If $D_1 > 0$, then the smaller root is

$$S_1 = \frac{b_1 - \sqrt{D_1}}{2}.$$

If $D_1 = 0$, $S_1 = \frac{b_1}{2}$ is the double root of (14).

We define

$$\mathcal{L}_{S_1} = \{M \in \mathcal{H}^n : \|M\| \leq S_1\}.$$

For each $M \in \mathcal{L}_{S_1}$ by (13), we have

$$\|M\| \leq S_1 \leq \frac{b_2}{2} < 1.$$

Thus, the matrices $I + M$ and $I_{n^2} + \widehat{M}$ are nonsingular, $\mu(M)$ is a continuous map and

$$\|(I_{n^2} + \widehat{M})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|M\|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - S_1}.$$

Denote $\Delta\alpha = \|\widehat{\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta A\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}}\|$. Then for each $M \in \mathcal{L}_{S_1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu(M)\| &\leq \|\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta Q\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\| + \Delta\alpha \frac{\alpha_1 + \Delta\alpha}{1 - S_1} + \alpha_1 \frac{\alpha_1 S_1 + \Delta\alpha}{1 - S_1} \\ &\leq \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta Q\| + \frac{2\alpha_1 \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\| + \|X_+^{-1}\|^2 \|\Delta A\|^2 + S_1 \alpha_1^2}{1 - S_1} \\ &= \frac{(1 - b_1)S_1 + c_1 \|X_+^{-1}\|}{1 - S_1} = S_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu(M) \in \mathcal{L}_{S_1}$ for every $M \in \mathcal{L}_{S_1}$, which means that $\mu(\mathcal{L}_{S_1}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{S_1}$. By the Schauder's fixed point theorem, there exists an $M_* \in \mathcal{L}_{S_1}$ such that $\mu(M_*) = M_*$. Hence there exists a Hermitian solution ΔX_* of the equation (12) for which

$$\|\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\Delta X_*\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\| \leq S_1$$

and

$$\|\Delta X_*\| \leq \|X_+\| S_1.$$

Let

$$\tilde{X}_* = X_+ + \Delta X_*. \tag{15}$$

Since X_+ is the positive definite solution of (1) and ΔX_* is a Hermitian solution of (12), then \tilde{X}_* is a Hermitian solution of the perturbed equation (4). The positive definiteness of \tilde{X}_* can be proved on same way as in Theorem 2. Thus, $\tilde{X}_* \equiv \tilde{X}_+$ and $\Delta X_* \equiv \Delta X_+$. \square

Above we noted that if $\|AX_+^{-1}\| < 1$, then Theorem 2 is applicable with $P = X_+$ ($P_2 := X_+$). For alternative of this, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4 *Let A, Q and \tilde{A}, \tilde{Q} with Q, \tilde{Q} positive definite be coefficient matrices for the matrix equations (1) and (4), respectively. Denote $\alpha_2 = \|AX_+^{-1}\|$ where X_+ is the extreme solution of Eq. (1),*

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= 1 - \alpha_2^2 + \|X_+\| \|X_+^{-1}\|^2 \|\Delta Q\|, \\ c_2 &= \|\Delta Q\| + 2\alpha_2 \|\Delta A\| + \|X_+^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\alpha_2 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\|\Delta A\| + \|\Delta Q\| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_2)^2}{\|X_+\| \|X_+^{-1}\|^2}, \tag{16}$$

then $D_2 = b_2^2 - 4c_2 \|X_+\| \|X_+^{-1}\|^2 \geq 0$ and

$$\|\Delta X_+\| \leq \|X_+\| \frac{b_2 - \sqrt{D_2}}{2} =: S_{err}^{P'}. \tag{17}$$

Proof: The proof is like to the proof of Theorem 3. \square

3 Numerical examples

We consider some numerical examples for illustration of the theoretical results.

Example 1 [1, Example 2] Consider Eq. (1) with coefficient matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4326 & -1.1465 \\ -1.6665 & 1.1909 \\ 0.1253 & 1.1892 \\ 0.2877 & -0.0376 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1376 & 0.0656 \\ 0.0656 & 0.5616 \end{pmatrix}$$

and an approximation of the extreme solution

$$X_+ \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1.1572575 & 0.01971555 \\ 0.01971555 & 3.3569583 \end{pmatrix},$$

and perturbations on the matrices A and Q

$$\Delta A = 10^{-j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.6 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.8 & 0.4 \\ 0.6 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta Q = 10^{-j} \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0.7 \\ 0.7 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

The solution \tilde{X}_+ to the perturbed equation we compute iteratively by formula

$$X_{k+1} = Q + A^* \widehat{X}_k^{-1} A, \quad X_0 = Q, \quad (18)$$

as $\tilde{X}_+ \approx \tilde{X}_{200}$.

We remain that for Example 1 we have $\|X_+^{-1}\| \|A\| \approx 1.9443 > 1$. Hence, Theorem 1 is not applicable. But $\|\widehat{P}X_+^{-1}AP^{-1}\| \approx 0.9621$ with $P = P_Q := \sqrt{Q} + 2\sqrt[4]{Q}$. Moreover for $P = P_1 := \sqrt{X_+}$ and $P = P_2 := X_+$ we have $\|\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}A\sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\| \approx 0.9472$ and $\|AX_+^{-1}\| \approx 0.5745$, respectively.

In Table 1 the perturbation estimates S_{err}^P (8) with different matrices P (P_Q, P_1, P_2), $S_{err}^{P'_1}$ (11) and $S_{err}^{P'_2}$ (17) for Example 1 with different values of j are given. The notations are the following. The sign "*" means that the inequalities $\|A\|^2 \|X_+^{-1}\|^2 < 1$ and $\alpha_p < 1$ from (5) and (7) are not satisfied. In addition, the sign "***" means that these inequalities are satisfied and the second inequalities are not valid.

Example 2 ([13, Example 4.1]) Consider Eq. (1) with $m = 2$, $n = 4$ and matrices A and Q as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q := X_+ - A^* \widehat{X}_+^{-1} A,$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{100} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 22.5 & 12 & 2 \\ 2 & 9 & 7 & 3 \\ 12 & 1 & 2 & 19 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{45} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_+ = E + 1.5I$$

and E is the 4×4 matrix with all entries equal to 1.

Table 1: Numerical results of Example 1.

	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	$j = 5$	$j = 6$
$\ \Delta X_+\ $	$3.0137e - 03$	$3.0193e - 04$	$3.0159e - 05$	$2.9752e - 06$
S_{err}	*	*	*	*
$S_{err}^{P_Q}$	**	$1.1394e - 02$	$1.0814e - 03$	$1.0763e - 04$
$S_{err}^{P_1}$	**	$9.6401e - 03$	$9.4010e - 04$	$9.3783e - 05$
$S_{err}^{P_1'}$	**	$1.2038e - 02$	$1.1667e - 03$	$1.1632e - 04$
$S_{err}^{P_2}$	$2.5665e - 02$	$2.5396e - 03$	$2.5370e - 04$	$2.5367e - 05$
$S_{err}^{P_2'}$	$3.7084e - 02$	$3.6638e - 03$	$3.6595e - 04$	$3.6590e - 05$

Consider perturbation on the matrices A and Q :

$$\Delta A = 10^{-2j} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 + C_1^* \\ \frac{\|C_1 + C_1^*\|}{\|C_2 + C_2^*\|} \\ C_2 + C_2^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta Q := \tilde{X}_+ - \tilde{A}^* \widehat{\tilde{X}_+^{-1}} \tilde{A} - Q,$$

with $\tilde{X}_+ = X_+ + 10^{-2j}(I - E)$, C_1, C_2 are random matrices generated by MATLAB function **randn**.

For Example 2 we have

$$\|X_+^{-1}\| \|A\| \approx 0.2119, \quad \|\widehat{X_+^{-1}} A\| \approx 0.1667, \quad \|\widehat{P_Q X_+^{-1}} A P_Q\| \approx 0.1667,$$

$$\|\sqrt{\widehat{X_+^{-1}} A} \sqrt{X_+^{-1}}\| \approx 0.1669, \quad \|A X_+^{-1}\| \approx 0.1095.$$

Therefore, Theorems 1 and 2 with $P = I$, $P = P_Q := \sqrt{Q} + 2\sqrt[4]{Q}$, $P = P_1 := \sqrt{X_+}$ and $P = P_2 := X_+$ and the theorems 3, and 4 are applicable.

In Table 2 the perturbation estimates S_{err} (6), S_{err}^P (8) with different matrices P (I, P_Q, P_1, P_2), $S_{err}^{P_1'}$ (11) and $S_{err}^{P_2'}$ (17) for Example 2 with different values of j are given.

Table 2: Numerical results of Example 2.

j	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	$j = 5$
$\ \Delta X_+\ $	$3.0000e - 04$	$3.0000e - 06$	$3.0000e - 08$	$3.0000e - 10$
S_{err}	$3.8082e - 04$	$3.8893e - 06$	$3.8605e - 08$	$3.8588e - 10$
S_{err}^I	$3.6109e - 04$	$3.6899e - 06$	$3.6628e - 08$	$3.6604e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P_Q}$	$3.6458e - 04$	$3.6978e - 06$	$3.6778e - 08$	$3.6753e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P_1}$	$4.4270e - 04$	$4.5229e - 06$	$4.5340e - 08$	$4.6955e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P_1'}$	$1.3242e - 03$	$1.3532e - 05$	$1.3433e - 07$	$1.3424e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_2}$	$1.4957e - 03$	$1.5630e - 05$	$1.5450e - 07$	$1.6050e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_2'}$	$4.5590e - 03$	$4.6622e - 05$	$4.6281e - 07$	$4.6238e - 09$

Example 3 Consider Example 2 with $A_1 = \kappa A_2^T$ and $X_+ = \lambda E + 2.5I$.

Next, in Table 3 the different norms are presented. These norms are important in order to the corresponding estimates are valid.

Table 3: Example 3 with different κ and λ .

	$\kappa = 10$ $\lambda = 0.1$	$\kappa = 12$ $\lambda = 0.1$	$\kappa = 13$ $\lambda = 0.1$	$\kappa = 11$ $\lambda = 0.91$
$\ X_+^{-1}\ \ A\ $	0.7903	0.9478	1.0265	0.8690
$\ \widehat{X_+^{-1}A}\ $	0.7870	0.9439	1.0224	0.8596
$\ \widehat{P_Q X_+^{-1} A P_Q}\ $	0.9246	1.3889	2.1834	0.7895
$\ \sqrt{\widehat{X_+^{-1}A}} \sqrt{\widehat{X_+^{-1}}}\ $	0.7489	0.8981	0.9728	0.6590
$\ AX_+^{-1}\ $	0.2846	0.3414	0.3697	0.2309

In the tables 4, 5 and 6 the perturbation estimates S_{err} (6), S_{err}^P (8) with different matrices P (I, P_Q, P_1, P_2), $S_{err}^{P_1}$ (11) and $S_{err}^{P_2}$ (17) for Example 3 with different values of j , κ and λ are given.

Table 4: Numerical results of Example 3 with $\kappa = 10$ and $\lambda = 0.1$.

j	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	$j = 5$
$\ \Delta X_+\ $	$3.0000e - 04$	$3.0000e - 06$	$3.0000e - 08$	$3.0000e - 10$
S_{err}	0.0013	$1.2940e - 05$	$1.3016e - 07$	$1.3809e - 09$
S_{err}^I	0.0013	$1.2738e - 05$	$1.2813e - 07$	$1.3596e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_Q}$	0.0044	$4.4177e - 05$	$4.4682e - 07$	$4.8199e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_1}$	0.0011	$1.0837e - 05$	$1.0906e - 07$	$1.1587e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_1'}$	0.0012	$1.2527e - 05$	$1.2601e - 07$	$1.3390e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_2}$	$3.7772e - 04$	$3.7749e - 06$	$3.8123e - 08$	$4.1385e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P_2'}$	$5.0221e - 04$	$5.0423e - 06$	$5.0763e - 08$	$5.5267e - 10$

Usually, when the conditions of both theorems (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2) are satisfied, the estimate S_{err}^P derived in Theorem 2 is sharper than the estimate S_{err} given in Theorem 1.

References

- [1] V.I. Hasanov, On perturbation estimates for the extreme solution of a matrix equation *Ann. Acad. Rom. Sci. Ser. Math. Appl.*, 9:74-88, 2017.
- [2] A. Ferrante, B. Levy, Hermitian solutions of the equation $X = Q + NX^{-1}N^*$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 247:359-373, 1996.
- [3] B. Levy, R. Frezza, A. Krener, Modeling and estimation of discretetime Gaussian reciprocal processes, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 35:1013-1023, 1990.

Table 5: Numerical results of Example 3 with $\kappa = 13$ and $\lambda = 0.1$.

j	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	$j = 5$
$\ \Delta X_+\ $	$3.0000e - 04$	$3.0000e - 06$	$3.0000e - 08$	$3.0000e - 10$
S_{err}	*	*	*	*
S_{err}^I	*	*	*	*
S_{err}^{PQ}	*	*	*	*
$S_{err}^{P_1}$	0.0109	$9.8822e - 05$	$1.0138e - 06$	$1.0388e - 08$
$S_{err}^{P'_1}$	0.0127	$1.1352e - 04$	$1.1675e - 06$	$1.1978e - 08$
$S_{err}^{P_2}$	$4.3622e - 04$	$4.2602e - 06$	$4.3746e - 08$	$4.5423e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P'_2}$	$5.7776e - 04$	$5.6026e - 06$	$5.7782e - 08$	$6.0210e - 10$

Table 6: Numerical results of Example 3 with $\kappa = 11$ and $\lambda = 0.91$.

j	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	$j = 5$
$\ \Delta X_+\ $	$3.0000e - 04$	$3.0000e - 06$	$3.0000e - 08$	$3.0000e - 10$
S_{err}	0.0020	$1.8875e - 05$	$1.9722e - 07$	$2.0909e - 09$
S_{err}^I	0.0018	$1.7599e - 05$	$1.8392e - 07$	$1.9506e - 09$
S_{err}^{PQ}	0.0014	$1.4335e - 05$	$1.4483e - 07$	$1.4103e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_1}$	$9.5749e - 04$	$9.8548e - 06$	$9.7773e - 08$	$9.4251e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P'_1}$	0.0018	$1.7523e - 05$	$1.8386e - 07$	$1.9645e - 09$
$S_{err}^{P_2}$	0.0010	$1.0279e - 05$	$1.0403e - 07$	$9.6852e - 10$
$S_{err}^{P'_2}$	0.0019	$1.8134e - 05$	$1.9285e - 07$	$2.1128e - 09$

- [4] C.-H. Guo, P. Lancaster, Iterative solution of two matrix equations, *Math. Comput.*, 68:1589-1603, 1999.
- [5] I.G. Ivanov, V.I. Hasanov, F. Uhlig, Improved methods and starting values to solve the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = I$ iteratively, *Math. Comput.*, 74:263-278, 2005.
- [6] V.I. Hasanov, I.G. Ivanov, F. Uhlig, Improved perturbation estimates for the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = Q$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 379:113-135, 2004.
- [7] V.I. Hasanov, I.G. Ivanov, On two perturbation estimates of the extreme solutions to the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = Q$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 413:81-92, 2006.
- [8] X.-S. Chen, W. Li, Perturbation analysis for the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = I$, *Taiwanese Journal Of Mathematics*, 13:913-922, 2009.
- [9] V.I. Hasanov, Notes on two perturbation estimates of the extreme solutions to the equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = Q$, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 216:1355-1362, 2010.
- [10] I. Popchev, V. Angelova, On the sensitivity of the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = Q$, *Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, 10:36-61, 2010.

-
- [11] A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, A nonlinear matrix equation connected to interpolation theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 379:289-302, 2004.
- [12] L.A. Sakhnovich, *Interpolation Theory and Its Applications*. In: Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 428, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [13] X. Yin, L. Fang, Perturbation analysis for the positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{-1} A_i = Q$, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, 43:199-211, 2013.
- [14] J.-G. Sun, Perturbation analysis of the matrix equation $X = Q + A^H(\hat{X} - C)^{-1}A$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 362:211-228, 2003.
- [15] X. Duan, A. Liao, B. Tang, On the nonlinear matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 429:110-121, 2008.
- [16] X. Duan, A. Liao, On Hermitian positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^r A_i = Q$, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 229:27-36, 2009.
- [17] Y. Lim, Solving the nonlinear matrix equation $X = Q + \sum_{i=1}^m M_i^* X^{\delta_i} M_i$ via a contraction principle, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 430:1380-1383, 2009.
- [18] X. Duan, Q. Wang, C. Li, Perturbation analysis for the positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$, *J. Appl. Math. & Informatics*, 30:655-663, 2012.
- [19] A.C.M. Ran, M.C.B. Reurings, A Fixed Point Theorem in Partially Ordered Sets and Some Applications to Matrix Equations *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 132:1435-1443, 2003.
- [20] M. Konstantinov, P. Petkov, I. Popchev, V. Angelova, Sensitivity of the matrix equation $A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i A_i^* X^{p_i} A_i = 0$, $\sigma_i = \pm 1$. *Appl. Comput. Math.*, 10:409-427, 2011.
- [21] I. Popchev, M. Konstantinov, P. Petkov, V. Angelova, Norm-wise, mixed and component-wise condition numbers of matrix equation $A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i A_i^* X^{p_i} A_i = 0$, $\sigma_i = \pm 1$. *Appl. Comput. Math.*, 14:18-30, 2014.