

# An effective approach to solve a nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equation

Ivan G. Ivanov<sup>1</sup>, Hongli Yang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business Administration,  
Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski"

Sofia 1113, Bulgaria

e-mail [i\\_ivanov@feb.uni-sofia.bg](mailto:i_ivanov@feb.uni-sofia.bg)

<sup>2</sup> College of Mathematics and Systems Science,  
Shandong University of Science and Technology,

Qingdao 266590, China

e-mail [yanghongli@sdust.edu.cn](mailto:yanghongli@sdust.edu.cn)

**Abstract.** The MALI iteration (has developed by Guan) is an effective iteration to compute a nonnegative solution. We propose a decoupled modification of Guan's procedure to compute the minimal nonnegative solution of the M-matrix algebraic Riccati equation. The convergence properties of the proposed iteration are derived and a sufficient condition for convergence is derived. The performance of the proposed algorithm is illustrated on several numerical examples.

**Key Words:** numerical iterative methods, M-matrix, minimal nonnegative solution.

## 1 Introduction

The equation

$$\mathcal{R}(X) := XCX - XD - AX + B = 0. \quad (1)$$

is investigated in [5], where  $D, B, C$  and  $A$  are real matrices of dimensions  $m \times m, m \times n, n \times m$  and  $n \times n$ , respectively. The block matrix  $K = \begin{pmatrix} A & -C \\ -B & D \end{pmatrix}$  is an M-matrix.

The general nonsymmetric matrix Riccati equation associated with M-matrices has many applications - in the Markov chains [6], in the transport theory [4] and many others. Nonsymmetric Riccati equation (1) arises from the game theory and more specially from the investigation of the open-loop Nash linear quadratic differential game [7, 1, 8]. A more general problem on connected to the properties of the stabilising solution of the game theoretic algebraic Riccati equation is investigated in [2, 3]. The solution of practical interest is the minimal nonnegative solution of (1).

There are many numerical methods up to now proposed for the minimal nonnegative solution of (1) with a nonsingular M-matrix. An effective method called alternately linearized implicit iteration method (ALI) was proposed and investigated in [11, 9, 10]. A new alternately linearized implicit iteration method (NALI) for computing the minimal nonnegative solution of (1) is introduced in [10].

The notation  $\mathbf{R}^{s \times q}$  stands for  $s \times q$  real matrices. In this investigation we exploit the properties of nonnegative matrices. A matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$  is a nonnegative matrix if the inequalities  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  are satisfied for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We use an elementwise order relation. The inequality  $P \geq Q (P > Q)$  for  $P = (p_{ij}), Q = (q_{ij})$  means that  $p_{ij} \geq q_{ij} (p_{ij} > q_{ij})$  for all indexes  $i$  and  $j$ . A matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is said to be a Z-matrix if it has nonpositive off-diagonal entries. Any Z-matrix  $A$  can be written in the form  $A = \alpha I - N$  with  $N$  being a nonnegative matrix. Each M-matrix is a Z-matrix with if  $\alpha \geq \rho(N)$ , where  $\rho(N)$  is the spectral radius of  $N$ . It is called a nonsingular M-matrix if  $\alpha > \rho(N)$  and a singular M-matrix if  $\alpha = \rho(N)$ . The notation  $I$  means an unit  $n \times n$  matrix.

## 2 Preliminary statements

There two iterative methods based on the alternately linearized implicit iteration method - ALI iterative method and A modified ALI iteration method (named NALI method). Guan [5] has described the following iterative methods.

**I.** ALI iterative method:  $X^{(0)} = 0 \in R^{n \times n}, \mu > 0$  is given parameter:

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(k)}(\mu I_n + D - CX^{(k)}) &= (\mu I_n - A)X^{(k)} + B \\ (\mu I + A - Y^{(k)}C)X^{(k+1)} &= Y^{(k)}((\mu I_n - D) + B \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

**II.** NALI iterative method:  $X^{(0)} = 0 \in R^{n \times n}, \mu > 0$  is given parameter:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_k(\mu I + D) &= (\mu I - A + X_k C)X_k + B \\ (\mu I + A)X_{k+1} &= Y_k((\mu I - D + CY_k) + B \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Guan [5] has proposed the MALI iterative method:  $A = (a_{ij}), D = (d_{ij}), X^{(0)} = 0 \in R^{n \times n}$ . The matrix  $A$  is transformed  $A = L_A - U_A$ , where  $L_A$  is the lower triangular part of  $A$  and  $U_A$  is the strictly upper triangular part of  $A$ . The matrix  $D$  is received the type  $D = L_D - U_D$  in the same way.

**III.** The iteration scheme is:

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(k)}(\alpha I + L_D) &= (\alpha I - A + X^{(k)}C)X^{(k)} + X^{(k)}U_D + B, \quad \alpha \geq \max_i (a_{ii}) \\ (\delta I + L_A)X^{(k+1)} &= Y^{(k)}(\delta I - D + CY^{(k)}) + U_A Y^{(k)} + B, \quad \delta \geq \max_i (d_{ii}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The convergence analysis of the MALI iteration method and numerical experiments are executed by Guan.

Here, we slightly modify iteration 4.

New modifications of the MALI iterative method.  $A = (a_{ij}), D = (d_{ij}), X^{(0)} = 0 \in R^{n \times n}$ . We transform  $D = L_D - U_D$ , where  $L_D$  is the lower triangular part of  $D$  and  $U_D$  is the strictly upper triangular part of  $D$ . Compute

$$\gamma = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad \alpha \geq \max_i (a_{ii}) \quad \beta \geq \max_i (d_{ii}).$$

The iteration has the form

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(k)}(\gamma I + L_D) &= (\gamma I - A + X^{(k)}C)X^{(k)} + X^{(k)}U_D + B, \\ (\gamma I + A)X^{(k+1)} &= Y^{(k)}(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) + B, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 1** We construct the matrix sequences  $\{X^{(k)}, Y^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  using ((5)) with initial values  $X^{(0)} = 0$ . Then for any positive  $k$ , the following equalities hold:

- (i)  $\mathcal{R}(X^{(k)}) = (Y^{(k)} - X^{(k)})(\gamma I + L_D)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R}(Y^{(k)}) = (\gamma I - A + X^{(k)}C)(Y^{(k)} - X^{(k)}) + (Y^{(k)} - X^{(k)})(U_D + CY^{(k)})$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{R}(Y^{(k)}) = (\gamma I + A)(X^{(k+1)} - Y^{(k)})$ ,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{R}(X^{(k+1)}) = (X^{(k+1)} - Y^{(k)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) + X^{(k+1)}C(X^{(k+1)} - Y^{(k)})$ ,
- (v)  $\mathcal{R}_i(\hat{X}) = (Y^{(k)} - \hat{X})(\gamma I + L_D) + (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - X^{(k)}) + (\hat{X} - X^{(k)})(U_D + CX^{(k)})$ .
- (vi)  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) = (\gamma I + A)(X^{(k+1)} - \hat{X}) + (\hat{X} - Y^{(k)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) + \hat{X}C(\hat{X} - Y^{(k)})$ .

**Proof.** The proof is completed by a direct calculation.

The main theorem is

**Theorem 2** Assume the matrix  $A$  is an  $M$ -matrix and  $B \geq 0, C \geq 0$ , and there exists  $\mu > 0$ , such that  $(\mu I + A)$  is an  $M$ -matrix and  $\mu I - A$  is nonpositive.

Assume there exist a nonnegative matrix  $\hat{X}$ , such that  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) \leq 0$ .

The matrix sequence  $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  defined by ((5)) satisfy the following properties:

- (i)  $\hat{X} \geq X^{(k+1)} \geq Y^{(k)} \geq X^{(k)}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R}(X^{(k)}) \geq 0, \mathcal{R}(Y^{(k)}) \geq 0, \mathcal{R}(X^{(k+1)}) \geq 0, k = 0, 1, \dots$ .

(iii) The matrix sequence  $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  converges to a nonnegative solution  $\tilde{X}$  to the Riccati equation  $\mathcal{R}(X) = 0$ .

**Proof.** We apply the decomposition of the matrix coefficient  $D = L_D - U_D$ , where  $L_D$  is the lower triangular part of  $D$  and  $U_D$  is the strictly upper triangular part of  $D$ . We remark  $U_D \geq 0$ . We begin with the facts that  $(\gamma I + A)^{-1} \geq 0$ , and  $(\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \geq 0$ . We construct the matrix sequences  $\{X^{(k)}, Y^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  applying recursive equations ((5)) with  $X^{(0)} = 0$  and  $\gamma_i > 0$ .

For  $k = 0$  we obtain  $Y^{(0)}(\gamma I + L_D) = B \geq 0$  and thus  $Y^{(0)} = B(\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \geq 0$ . And  $Y^{(0)} \geq X^{(0)} = 0$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{R}(X^{(0)}) = B \geq 0$ .

Applying Lemma 1 (ii), we get  $(\gamma I - A \geq 0)$

$$\mathcal{R}(Y^{(0)}) = (\gamma I - A)Y^{(0)} + Y_i^{(0)}(U_D + CY^{(0)}) \geq 0.$$

We compute  $X^{(1)}$  applying the recursive equation (5). We have

$$(\gamma I + A)X^{(1)} = W^{(0)} \geq 0,$$

where

$$W^{(0)} := Y^{(0)}(\gamma I - D + CY^{(0)}) + B.$$

Since  $(\gamma I + A)^{-1} \geq 0$ , we obtain  $X^{(1)}$  is nonnegative.

Applying Lemma 1 (iii), we get

$$(X^{(1)} - Y^{(0)}) = (\gamma I + A)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Y^{(0)}) \geq 0.$$

According to Lemma 1 (iv) we induce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(X^{(1)}) &= (X^{(1)} - Y^{(0)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(0)}) \\ &\quad + X^{(1)}C(X^{(1)} - Y^{(0)}) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\gamma I - D \geq 0$ ,  $X^{(1)} \geq Y^{(0)} \geq X^{(0)}$ .

In order to prove  $\hat{X} \geq X^{(1)}$  we consider equality Lemma 1 (v)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) &= (Y^{(0)} - \hat{X})(\gamma I + L_D) \\ &\quad + (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)\hat{X} + \hat{X}U_D \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\gamma I - A \geq 0$ ,  $U_D \geq 0$ , .

$$(Y^{(0)} - \hat{X}) = H^{(0)} (\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \leq 0,$$

because

$$H^{(0)} := \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)\hat{X} - \hat{X}U_D \leq 0.$$

Thus  $\hat{X} \geq Y^{(0)}$ . Moreover, applying equality Lemma 1 (vi) with  $k = 0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma I + A)(X^{(1)} - \hat{X}) &= \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\hat{X} - Y^{(0)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(0)}) \\ &\quad - \hat{X}C(\hat{X} - Y^{(0)}). \end{aligned}$$

We infer  $\hat{X} \geq X^{(1)}$ .

So, we have proved inequalities (i) - (ii) for  $k = 0$ .

We assume that the inequalities (i) - (ii) hold for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ . We know matrices  $X^{(r+1)}$  with the properties:

$$\hat{X} \geq X^{(r+1)} \geq Y^{(r)} \geq X^{(r)},$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(X^{(r)}) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(Y^{(r)}) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(X^{(r+1)}) \geq 0.$$

We will prove the inequalities (i) - (ii) for  $k = r + 1$ .

We compute  $Y^{(r+1)}$  via ((5)), i.e.

$$Y^{(r+1)} = [(\gamma I - A + X^{(r+1)}C)X^{(r+1)} + X^{(r+1)}U_D + B](\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \geq 0.$$

According to Lemma 1 (i) we extract

$$Y^{(r+1)} - X^{(r+1)} = \mathcal{R}(X^{(r+1)})(\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \geq 0,$$

From Lemma 1 (ii), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(Y^{(r+1)}) &= (\gamma I - A + X^{(r+1)}C)(Y^{(r+1)} - X^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad + (Y^{(r+1)} - X^{(r+1)})(U_D + CY^{(r+1)}) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

We compute  $X^{(r+2)}$  via the second equation of (5). Consider the equality (iii) of Lemma 1 for  $k = r + 1$ . We write down:

$$X^{(r+2)} - Y^{(r+1)} = (\gamma I + A)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Y^{(r+1)}) \geq 0.$$

Next, we apply of Lemma 1 (iv) for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(X^{(r+2)}) &= (X^{(r+2)} - Y^{(r+1)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad + X^{(r+2)}C(X^{(r+2)} - Y^{(r+1)}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{R}(X^{(r+2)}) \geq 0$ .

In order to prove  $\hat{X} \geq X^{(r+2)}$  we consider equality Lemma 1 (v)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) &= (Y^{(r+1)} - \hat{X})(\gamma I + L_D) \\ &\quad + (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)}) - (\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)})(U_D + CX^{(r+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\gamma I - A \geq 0$ ,  $U_D \geq 0$ , . Then

$$Y^{(r+1)} - \hat{X} = H^{(r+1)} (\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \leq 0,$$

because  $H^{(r+1)} \leq 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(r+1)} &:= \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad - (\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)})(U_D + CX^{(r+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\hat{X} \geq Y^{(r+1)}$ .

Further on, taking into account Lemma 1 (vi) we obtain

$$X_i^{(r+2)} - \hat{X} = (\gamma I + A)^{-1} T^{(r+1)} \leq 0,$$

because  $T^{(r+1)} \leq 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(r+1)} &:= \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\hat{X} - Y^{(r+1)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad - \hat{X}C(\hat{X} - Y^{(r+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

We infer  $\hat{X} \geq X^{(r+2)}$ .

Hence, the induction process has been completed. Thus the matrix sequence  $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  are nonnegative, monotonically increasing and bounded from above by  $\hat{X}$  (in the element-wise ordering). We denote  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (X^{(k)}) = (\tilde{X})$ . By taking the limits in (5) it follows that  $(\tilde{X})$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{R}(X) = 0$  with the property  $\tilde{X}$ .

The theorem is proved.

### 3 Numerical Experiments

Following [12], we apply the relative residual error (RES) defined by

$$RES = \frac{\mathcal{R}(X_k)}{\mathcal{R}(X_0)}.$$

In our computations  $\|\mathcal{R}(X_0)\| = \|B\|$  because  $X_0 = 0$  and use the stop criterion  $RES \leq tol$ . Algorithms stop for different values of  $tol$  (see Table 1).

We apply the iteration methods (4) and (5) for computing the minimal nonnegative solution of equation (1). We take the values of  $n$  as follows:  $n = 12, 24, 32$ .

**Example 1.** We introduce an example with the matrix coefficients with different values of  $n$ :  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $D = (d_{ij})$ , and  $B = 0.75 I_n$ ,  $C = 0.92 I_n$ .

$$A = \begin{cases} a_{ii} = 4., & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ a_{i,i+1} = -1, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{i+1,i} = -0.1, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{i,i+2} = -0.55, & i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\ a_{i+2,i} = -0.525, & i = 1, \dots, n-2, \end{cases}$$

$$D = \begin{cases} d_{ii} = 2., & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ d_{i,j} = a_{ij}/5, & i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

**Example 2.** We introduce an example with the matrix coefficients with different values of  $n$ :  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $D = (d_{ij})$ , and  $B = 0.75 I_n$ ,  $C = 0.92 I_n$ .

$$A = \begin{cases} a_{ii} = 4., & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ a_{i,i+1} = -1, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{i+1,i} = -0.33, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{i,i+2} = -0.55, & i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\ a_{i+2,i} = -1.925, & i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\ a_{1n} = -0.15, a_{n1} = -1.7, \end{cases}$$

$$D = \begin{cases} d_{ii} = 2., & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ d_{i,j} = a_{ij}/5, & i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

**Example 3.** We introduce an example with the matrix coefficients with different values of  $n$ :  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $D = (d_{ij})$ , and  $B = 0.75 I_n$ ,  $C = 0.92 I_n$ .

$$A = \begin{cases} a_{ii} = 4., & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ a_{i,i+1} = -1, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{i+1,i} = -0.33, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{i,i+2} = -0.55, & i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\ a_{i+2,i} = -1.925, & i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\ a_{1n} = -0.005, a_{n1} = -1, \end{cases}$$

$$D = \begin{cases} d_{ii} = 2., & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ d_{i,j} = a_{ij}/5, & i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

Table 1. Experiments with (4) and (5) for 100 runs

	(4)		(5)	
	Example 1 , $tol = 1.0e - 14$			
n	<i>It</i>	CPU	<i>It</i>	CPU
18	25	0.06s	22	0.05s
32	26	0.15s	23	0.14s
48	27	0.44s	23	0.43 s
	Example 2 , $tol = 1.0e - 14$			
n	<i>It</i>	CPU	<i>It</i>	CPU
18	128	0.29s	105	0.25s
32	328	1.7s	272	1.48s
36 $tol = 1.0e - 12$	720	5.6s	600	4.8s
48	—	— s	—	s
	Example 3 , $tol = 1.0e - 14$			
n	<i>It</i>	CPU	<i>It</i>	CPU
18	119	0.28s	98	0.22s
32	202	1.0s	166	0.91s
48	330	5.8s	272	4.6s
56	561	14.1s	467	11.5s

## 4 Conclusion

The main conclusion is that the new iterative formula constructs an effective algorithm for computing the nonnegative solution of the matrix equation  $\mathcal{R}(X) = 0$ . Moreover, there are examples where iteration (5) is faster than iteration (4) (See Table 1).

## References

- [1] T. Azevedo-Perdicoulis, G. Jank, Linear Quadratic Nash Games on Positive Linear Systems, *European Journal of Control*, 11:1–13, 2005.
- [2] V. Dragan and I. G. Ivanov, A numerical procedure to compute the stabilising solution of game theoretic Riccati equations of stochastic control, *International Journal of Control*, 84(4) (2011), 783–800.
- [3] V. Dragan and I. G. Ivanov, Computation of the stabilizing solution of game theoretic Riccati equation arising in stochastic  $H_\infty$  control problems, *Numerical Algorithms*, 57(3) (2011), 357–375.

- 
- [4] J. Juang and W.-W. Lin, Nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equations and Hamiltonian-like matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* , 20 (1999), 228–243.
  - [5] J. Guan, Modified alternately linearized implicit iteration method for M-matrix algebraic Riccati equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 347 (2019) 442–448.
  - [6] C.-H. Guo, On algebraic Riccati Equations Associated with M-Matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439(10) (2013), 2800–2814.
  - [7] J. Engwerda, On the open-loop Nash equilibrium in LQ-games, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 22(5) (1998), 729–762.
  - [8] G. Jank and D. Kremer, Open loop Nash games and positive systems - solvability conditions for nonsymmetric Riccati equations, *Proceedings of MTNS 2004*, Katolieke Universiteit, Leuven, Belgium, 2004 (in CD ROM).
  - [9] C. Ma, and H. Lu, Numerical Study on Nonsymmetric Algebraic Riccati Equations, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 13(6) (2016), 4961–4973.
  - [10] J. Guan and L. Lu, New alternately linearized implicit iteration for M-matrix algebraic Riccati equations, *J. Math. Study*, 50 (2017), 54–64.
  - [11] Z.-Z. Bai, X.-X. Guo, and S.-F. Xu, Alternately linearized implicit iteration methods for the minimal nonnegative solutions of the nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equations, *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.*, 13 (2006), 655–674.
  - [12] J.Zhang, F.Tan, Numerical methods for the minimal non-negative solution of the non-symmetric coupled algebraic Riccati equation, *Asian Journal of Control*, (2019), 1–13.